

Where AI changes the work

Eight upstream companies. The operating mechanisms, reported results and questions worth taking into a meeting.

The useful comparison is the work that changes: an equipment setting, a field response, a validated record or a commercial decision.

283 initiative profiles
196 company-use records
460 source records

- 01 A good answer only helps if someone can use it.
- 02 EOG and EQT show two ways to organize the work.
- 03 We know where some tools run. We know less about what they earn.

Eight selected upstream companies, examined within the wider public-source register. The examples are not a market ranking or an exhaustive company inventory. Counts reflect disclosure and can overlap.

EXHIBIT 01 / SELECTED WORKFLOWS

Three kinds of work. Eight different implementations.

The categories describe the selected task, not a company maturity score. Source-reported measures use different denominators.

COMPANY	KIND OF WORK	TASK	EVIDENCE TO INVESTIGATE
Devon Energy	Equipment settings	Closed-loop lift optimization.	Operating reach / Earlier pilot result
Chord Energy	Equipment settings	AI-driven rod-lift optimization.	Operating reach / Early operating result
ConocoPhillips	Field work	Monitor artificial lift, hydrate risk and compressor condition.	Deployment period / Forecast comparison
Occidental	Field work	Prioritize lease-operator attention in routeless operations.	Production coverage / Combined remote result
Continental Resources	Information & commercial work	Interpret incoming data, validate context and score accuracy.	Processing volume / Vendor time/accuracy claims
EOG Resources	Equipment settings	Proprietary machine-learning production optimization.	Operating use described / AI result not quantified
EQT	Information & commercial work	Target service rebids and procurement effort.	Operating foundation / Prospective opportunity
Diamondback Energy	Equipment settings	Select and optimize lift type and cost using machine learning and AI.	Workflow identified / Expected gains

Detailed mechanisms, reach, results, proposed tests and original-source addresses follow. No common net AI return is established.

The gap between a working task and a cash case.

This is an evidence map of selected workflows, not a company scorecard. Incomplete includes early pilots, combined or undated results, forecast comparisons and prospective work.

	ACTION DESCRIBED	OPERATING REACH	OUTCOME COMPARISON	FULL COST AND CASH
Devon Energy	Described	Described	Incomplete	Not established
Chord Energy	Incomplete	Described	Incomplete	Not established
ConocoPhillips	Described	Incomplete	Incomplete	Not established
Occidental	Described	Described	Incomplete	Not established
Continental Resources	Described	Incomplete	Incomplete	Not established
EOG Resources	Incomplete	Not established	Not established	Not established
EQT	Incomplete	Not established	Not established	Not established
Diamondback Energy	Incomplete	Not established	Not established	Not established

The exact boundaries are in each case and in the interactive cell details. Missing disclosure is not evidence of inactivity.

A good answer only helps if someone can use it.

Interpretation of selected company evidence. This is a proposition to test, not a proven company advantage.

Devon describes software that adjusts lift settings. Occidental describes a system that helps decide where field crews should focus. Continental describes agents that check incoming well data. Each changes a different part of the job. Before comparing the tools, ask what happens next, who can authorize it and how the result is checked.

WHAT TO DO WITH THAT

If you run an asset, start with a decision people make regularly and find out who acts on it. If you sell software, show how your answer reaches that person or system.

FOLLOW THE EVIDENCE

Devon Energy / AI-006 / Closed-loop lift optimization.

Occidental / AI-215 / Prioritize lease-operator attention in routeless operations.

Continental Resources / AI-248 / Interpret incoming data, validate context and score accuracy.

WHAT WOULD CHANGE THIS CONCLUSION

We would rethink this if tools repeatedly created substantial benefits without changing a decision, task or operating process.

EOG and EQT show two ways to organize the work.

Interpretation of selected company evidence. This is a proposition to test, not a proven company advantage.

EOG describes building software for its own operations. EQT describes a shared digital workspace for important processes and its main communication platform. That could make new tools easier to put into everyday use. It does not show that either company earns better AI returns.

WHAT TO DO WITH THAT

Look at how people use the tools, how they connect to existing systems and who keeps them working. A company can have useful software and processes even if it makes few public AI announcements.

FOLLOW THE EVIDENCE

EOG Resources / AI-089 / Proprietary machine-learning production optimization.

EQT / AI-095 / Target service rebids and procurement effort.

WHAT WOULD CHANGE THIS CONCLUSION

Do these systems help teams launch tools faster, keep using them or make better decisions? Until we know, we can only say they might give the company a head start.

We know where some tools run. We know less about what they earn.

Interpretation of selected company evidence. This is a proposition to test, not a proven company advantage.

Devon reports how many wells use its system. Chord reports rod-lift coverage and an early improvement in runtime. Conoco's supplier compares performance with a forecast. Those figures measure different things over different periods. They do not give us a common measure of cash return or show how rollout is changing across the industry.

WHAT TO DO WITH THAT

Use these examples to decide what to investigate. Before trying the same approach, ask which assets were compared, how they performed before, what it costs to run and what still goes wrong.

FOLLOW THE EVIDENCE

Devon Energy / AI-006 / Closed-loop lift optimization.

Chord Energy / AI-097 / AI-driven rod-lift optimization.

ConocoPhillips / AI-202 / Monitor artificial lift, hydrate risk and compressor condition.

WHAT WOULD CHANGE THIS CONCLUSION

A fair comparison showing benefits that last after integration, service and support costs would tell us more than a well count or a comparison with a forecast.

COMPANY / AI-006 / OPERATOR REPORTED

Devon Energy

Autonomous artificial-lift optimization at operating wells

DATA GOING IN

Operating-well data; the latest disclosure does not give a full signal list or lift-type breakdown.

ACTION COMING OUT

Adjusts lift settings in real time, around the clock. The record describes a controller, rather than only an engineering recommendation.

CONTROL AND APPROVAL

Closed-loop adjustment disclosed. Limits, overrides and stop rules are not described in the reviewed passage.

REPORTED REACH

1,000 live wells in August 2026. More than 2,000 additional Permian wells are an opportunity, not current deployment.

REPORTED RESULT

A separate 2025 gas-lift pilot reported 2-3% uplift in May 2026. That result is not established for the full August fleet.

CLAIM BOUNDARY

Management claims; no independent fleet-wide AI attribution or net cash return.

Devon Energy / what to investigate

Portability and next questions are analyst interpretations. The proposed tests have not been run.

DELIVERY MODEL

Management describes a mix of internal work and provider partnerships. The August Q&A does not name the partners for this lift application.

WHY THIS IS WORTH STUDYING

The first legacy Coterra wells were included by the August update. This is evidence of some transfer, not a measured result across the acquired portfolio.

OPERATOR QUESTION

Which lift types and asset conditions reproduce the pilot result after sustained operation?

SUPPLIER QUESTION

Which part of the operating loop remains an integration, reliability or monitoring problem the company wants external help to solve?

A USEFUL TEST

Compare eligible treated wells with a matched group, including downtime, energy use, overrides and costs. Keep the earlier pilot and later rollout periods separate.

STILL UNKNOWN

How many wells could use it? Which lift types are included? How does the whole fleet perform against comparable wells? How often do people override it, and what does support cost?

COMPANY / AI-097 / OPERATOR REPORTED

Chord Energy

AI-driven rod-lift optimization

DATA GOING IN

Rod-lift pumping operations; model inputs and architecture are not disclosed.

ACTION COMING OUT

Optimizes rod-lift pumping. The reviewed record does not specify whether adjustments are autonomous or require approval.

CONTROL AND APPROVAL

Control and approval boundary unknown.

REPORTED REACH

99% of rod-lift wells in the 2025 disclosure. This is not 99% of all company wells.

REPORTED RESULT

Early approximately 25% improvement in rod-pump runtime. The issuer also associates optimization with about 1,200 fewer workover rig days in 2025; broader cost improvements are not isolated to AI.

CLAIM BOUNDARY

Company-reported early performance; no disclosed controlled comparison or isolated net AI savings.

Chord Energy / what to investigate

Portability and next questions are analyst interpretations. The proposed tests have not been run.

DELIVERY MODEL

Operator describes machine learning; vendor and platform are not identified.

WHY THIS IS WORTH STUDYING

A near-fleet rod-lift rollout is worth studying for reliability and support, but does not establish applicability to ESPs or gas lift.

OPERATOR QUESTION

Did longer runtime reduce workover cost without trading off production or maintenance risk?

SUPPLIER QUESTION

Who supports this optimization at scale, and which data or equipment interfaces constrain its next extension?

A USEFUL TEST

Reconcile runtime and workover records by comparable rod-lift well cohort, including production, repair cost and the period before rollout.

STILL UNKNOWN

What does runtime mean here? What was the comparison? What did interventions and service fees cost, and how often did the system fail or need an override?

COMPANY / AI-202 / VENDOR REPORTED

ConocoPhillips

Montney AI surveillance and flow assurance

DATA GOING IN

Standardized SCADA and historians at the Montney asset; the vendor describes reusing integration templates.

ACTION COMING OUT

Flags exceptions for engineers and operators. The Montney narrative describes interventions on chemicals and equipment; it does not establish fully autonomous control there.

CONTROL AND APPROVAL

Human-guided exception response in the named narrative; do not transfer Chevron's separate autonomy description to Montney.

REPORTED REACH

Kickoff to full deployment in roughly four months at the described Montney development. Asset-wide well count and exact deployment dates are not supplied.

REPORTED RESULT

Vendor reports production 3-4% above forecast and approximately 5% lower LOE after four operating months. A 6% uplift is anticipated, not observed.

CLAIM BOUNDARY

Vendor-authored case. The forecast comparison is not an independent controlled estimate of net AI value.

ConocoPhillips / what to investigate

Portability and next questions are analyst interpretations. The proposed tests have not been run.

DELIVERY MODEL

OPX Ai's Integrated Operations Center as a Service, described by its authors in JPT.

WHY THIS IS WORTH STUDYING

The case attributes faster implementation partly to newer digital infrastructure and reused configurations. That makes data standardization a concrete condition to test elsewhere.

OPERATOR QUESTION

Would the same integration and performance hold on older assets with inconsistent tags and data?

SUPPLIER QUESTION

Can the supplier package integration and operational response as reliably as the model itself?

A USEFUL TEST

Compare actual performance against both the original forecast and a comparable untreated cohort; include ongoing service and labor cost.

STILL UNKNOWN

How was the forecast made? What else affected performance? What are the service fees, how much field work remains, and did the benefits last beyond four months?

COMPANY / AI-215 / OPERATOR REPORTED

Occidental

AI-assisted prioritization of lease-operator visits in routeless operations

DATA GOING IN

Field sensors and operational data in a combined automation and AI approach.

ACTION COMING OUT

Directs field attention to exceptions rather than a routine route. Remote centers resolve some issues; field visits still remain part of the operating model.

CONTROL AND APPROVAL

Dispatch logic, human overrides and the share of actions driven specifically by AI are not disclosed.

REPORTED REACH

Approximately 40% of U.S. production under routeless/remote-center coverage in February 2026. Production share is not a well or personnel count.

REPORTED RESULT

Management reports roughly 300 issues per day resolved remotely during a Rockies winter storm. The result belongs to the combined operating model, not AI alone.

CLAIM BOUNDARY

Combined sensors, automation and AI disclosure; no isolated route-level cost or AI performance measure.

Occidental / what to investigate

Portability and next questions are analyst interpretations. The proposed tests have not been run.

DELIVERY MODEL

Operator describes an integrated operating model, an AI Center of Excellence and an Operations SWAT Team; this does not identify a supplier contract for routeless work.

WHY THIS IS WORTH STUDYING

A remote operating organization can provide somewhere for AI alerts to go. Whether the alert improves a specific response still needs measurement.

OPERATOR QUESTION

Which problems can be resolved remotely, and which still need a field response?

SUPPLIER QUESTION

Which exceptions are unresolved by the existing remote centers, and who owns response quality?

A USEFUL TEST

Track alerts through disposition, resolution time and field visits; separate weather, automation and AI contributions.

STILL UNKNOWN

How often are alerts wrong or problems missed? How quickly do crews respond? How many trips are avoided, how serious are the issues, and how much of the change comes from AI?

Continental Resources

Agent-assisted drilling and completion data validation

DATA GOING IN

Inconsistent drilling and completion data arriving by email; WellView is named in award material.

ACTION COMING OUT

UiPath and Databricks agents check data. Robots return errors to suppliers and deliver validated data to engineers.

CONTROL AND APPROVAL

Vendor says initial Action Center review was later removed. Remaining exception controls and current error monitoring are not described.

REPORTED REACH

Undated vendor case reports more than 200 emails per day and one reusable robot supporting more than 40 processes. Those are not 40 AI initiatives.

REPORTED RESULT

Vendor reports about five minutes saved per previously manual email. Award material reports accuracy rising from roughly 70% to the high 90% range; dates and test method are unspecified.

CLAIM BOUNDARY

Undated case. Award announcement on September 29, 2025 does not date the operating metrics.

Continental Resources / what to investigate

Portability and next questions are analyst interpretations. The proposed tests have not been run.

DELIVERY MODEL

Named UiPath agents and robots, a Databricks agent and WellView integration. Separate owner-relations campaign material is not treated as the same deployed workflow.

WHY THIS IS WORTH STUDYING

This is a concrete handoff between suppliers and engineers. The reusable integration may matter as much as the language model; that is an interpretation to test.

OPERATOR QUESTION

What is the cost of a wrong accepted record, and how is it caught after human review is removed?

SUPPLIER QUESTION

Can the agent fit the existing well-data handoff and keep an auditable error trail?

A USEFUL TEST

Replay a dated, representative set of emails with labeled ground truth; measure critical errors, corrections and full processing time.

STILL UNKNOWN

When were the results measured, and on what test data? How often were serious errors accepted? Who handles exceptions after human review is removed? Did the time saved reduce costs?

COMPANY / AI-089 / OPERATOR REPORTED

EOG Resources

Proprietary machine-learning production optimizer

DATA GOING IN

EOG's wider operating systems include internally developed software and real-time data acquisition. Exact optimizer signals are not described.

ACTION COMING OUT

Annual report says the optimizer improved base production and runtime. It does not specify the model, the number of wells or the human-control boundary.

CONTROL AND APPROVAL

Recommendation versus automatic execution is not established.

REPORTED REACH

No AI-specific rollout count. More than 140 in-house applications is a separate software-estate measure; those applications are not all established as AI.

REPORTED RESULT

No AI-specific numerical outcome. The report's 7% lower average well cost is a wider operating result.

CLAIM BOUNDARY

The software estate and broader operating gains cannot be counted as AI deployments or attributed AI returns.

EOG Resources / what to investigate

Portability and next questions are analyst interpretations. The proposed tests have not been run.

DELIVERY MODEL

Proprietary optimizer. The wider internal software estate provides context, not proof of which model or cloud runs this tool.

WHY THIS IS WORTH STUDYING

Internal software can offer a familiar place to integrate new capabilities. That does not demonstrate faster deployment, superior models or a financial advantage.

OPERATOR QUESTION

What does EOG's internal delivery model let it change faster, and at what maintenance cost?

SUPPLIER QUESTION

Which component complements internal engineering rather than duplicating a tool the company already builds?

A USEFUL TEST

Measure sustained use, release cycle time and operating outcomes for this tool; evaluate internal and purchased alternatives on the same task.

STILL UNKNOWN

How much is the tool used? What does internal support cost? Which wells are suitable, what can the system change, and how much value comes from the optimizer?

COMPANY / AI-095 / OPERATOR REPORTED

EQT

AI-assisted service rebids and procurement targeting

DATA GOING IN

Management describes AI tools that could identify service-rebid opportunities; exact commercial inputs are undisclosed.

ACTION COMING OUT

Points procurement attention toward potential rebids. The call does not describe automated tendering, contract awards or a confirmed live workflow.

CONTROL AND APPROVAL

Commercial decision support is described as an opportunity; execution and approval boundary remain unknown.

REPORTED REACH

No rebid-tool deployment count. At year-end 2025, 56% of employees worked remotely; the filing describes a primary platform for communication and critical processes.

REPORTED RESULT

Prospective low-single-digit procurement cost reduction, not a realized saving or isolated AI effect.

CLAIM BOUNDARY

Remote work and digital collaboration are not proof of AI returns. The procurement estimate is prospective.

EQT / what to investigate

Portability and next questions are analyst interpretations. The proposed tests have not been run.

DELIVERY MODEL

Vendor and model for rebid targeting are not named. EQT's separate digital work environment is an operating foundation, not proof of this tool's deployment.

WHY THIS IS WORTH STUDYING

A shared workflow could help distribute decisions and track completion. Salesforce appears in other reviewed EQT sources, but not every communication is established as occurring there.

OPERATOR QUESTION

Does better targeting produce better completed rebids, after market pricing and supplier-quality changes?

SUPPLIER QUESTION

Which procurement decision is still difficult inside the existing work environment, and is outside software wanted?

A USEFUL TEST

Track eligible contracts from recommendation through rebid and award; compare like-for-like price and service outcomes rather than gross quoted savings.

STILL UNKNOWN

Is it running? Which spending can it influence? What were prices before? Are the suggested rebids useful and allowed under the contracts? How much was actually saved?

COMPANY / AI-045 / OPERATOR REPORTED

Diamondback Energy

Machine learning / AI for artificial-lift choice and late-life well optimization

DATA GOING IN	ACTION COMING OUT	CONTROL AND APPROVAL
Production operations and late-life wells; exact training data and model inputs are not described.	The company identifies downtime and late-life performance as intended improvements. It does not disclose how recommendations become equipment changes.	Automation, approval mode and deployed boundaries are unspecified. The code of ethics separately requires vetted AI tools and independent human review.
REPORTED REACH	REPORTED RESULT	
No well count or eligible fleet denominator. The reviewed letters describe early work and expected future gains.	No result specifically attributed to this workflow. Expected uptime and LOE improvements should remain separate from reported company-wide results.	
CLAIM BOUNDARY	Qualitative issuer disclosure and future expectations; no isolated AI result or verified purchasing signal.	

Diamondback Energy / what to investigate

Portability and next questions are analyst interpretations. The proposed tests have not been run.

DELIVERY MODEL

Qualitative issuer disclosure; no named lift-optimization supplier or platform.

WHY THIS IS WORTH STUDYING

A defined late-life lift problem is a useful starting point for investigation. Formal AI rules describe a review constraint, not proof of field adoption.

OPERATOR QUESTION

Which late-life decision is being changed today, and what has improved so far?

SUPPLIER QUESTION

What does an approved evaluation require, and who owns the lift workflow as well as the tool review?

A USEFUL TEST

Establish current scope first, then measure matched late-life wells for downtime, interventions, production and cost.

STILL UNKNOWN

Is it running today? Which model and lift types does it use? Who owns it and reviews the recommendations? What does it cost, and what has improved because of it?

The unanswered questions that matter.

Success cases help identify useful tasks. They do not provide a denominator for failures, spending or total market adoption.

What has stopped, been rolled back or failed its test?

Success stories cannot tell us how often projects fail. If a company publishes no result, that does not mean the project failed. Ask what the test required, what went wrong and whether anything was rolled back or abandoned. Keep a failed technical test separate from a cancelled commercial project.

Who keeps the system working after the pilot?

An internal team, a managed service and a purchased application each leave the company with different work to do. Ask who supports the system, owns the data, maintains the connections and responds when something breaks. Find out what happens if the company wants to stop using it.

Would the result hold on your assets?

Lift type, asset age, sensor quality and the way field teams work can all affect whether a tool works elsewhere. Keep those conditions in view when comparing companies or basins. A reported uplift on one asset does not tell us what another will earn.

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

Devon Energy / AI-006 / SW8-001

Devon Energy - deployment evidence

2026-08-05 / Devon's operator-hosted Q2 2026 transcript (2026-08-05) says its closed-loop AI system autonomously optimized 1,000 wells in real time, 24/7; management said 200 wells in March and a path to broad deployment across >2,000 additional Permian wells, including first legacy Coterra wells. This supersedes the Q1 count of >850.

Research review: Relevant source passages reviewed Comparison recheck 2026-10-08: Original PDF downloaded; relevant prepared remarks and Q&A reviewed. PDF pp. 5, 16-17: 1,000 wells, more than 2,000 opportunity, first legacy Coterra wells and provider partnerships.

Devon Energy / AI-006 / RS034

Devon Energy Q2 2026 Earnings Call Transcript

2026-08 / CEO remarks on Slide 9 and Q&A; source transcript not issuer-hosted

Research review: Audit access: inaccessible. Earlier: excerpt reviewed

Devon Energy / AI-006 / S02

Q4 2025 commentary transcript

2026-02 / PDF p. 5; Clay Gaspar, prepared remarks

Research review: Audit access: excerpt reviewed. Earlier: inaccessible

Devon Energy / AI-006 / R040

AI-enabled lift and maintenance drive \$1B optimization plan

2026-02 / Locator not independently checked

Research review: Audit access: full text reviewed. Earlier: Imported reference; source verification pending

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

Devon Energy / AI-006 / R041

Smart Gas Lift closed-loop AI scaled to 850+ wells

2026-05 / Locator not independently checked

Research review: Audit access: full text reviewed. Earlier: Imported reference; source verification pending

Devon Energy / AI-006 / R042

AI speeds Coterra integration; in-house plus vendor model

2026-08 / Locator not independently checked

Research review: Audit access: excerpt reviewed. Earlier: Imported reference; source verification pending

Devon Energy / AI-006 / FU001

Devon Q1 2026 earnings call - Smart Gas Lift

2026-05-06 / Prepared remarks p. 4; Q&A pp. 12-14

Research review: Latest access review: original document available; pp. 3-4 (PDF pp. 3-4), CEO Clay Gaspar prepared remarks, lines 108-128; fully read 20-page transcript. Earlier: Document accessible on 1 October 2026. New review: pp. 3-4 (PDF pp. 3-4), CEO Clay Gaspar prepared remarks, lines 108-128; fully read 20-page transcript. Earlier claim-level findings remain scoped to their original review.

Devon Energy / AI-006 / DVN-S01

Devon Q2 2026 earnings call and transcript

2026-08-05 / Q&A webcast commentary transcript, prepared remarks and CTO Q&A

Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Management statements; well coverage has no eligible-well denominator or independently validated uplift.

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

Chord Energy / AI-097 / SW7-005

Chord Energy FY2025 results release

2026-02-25 / Operations Update, lines 210-216; specifically line 216 (AI deployment, run time metric, workover rig days).
Research review: Full source opened and read.

Chord Energy / AI-097 / R059

AI rod-pump optimization arrests base decline

2026-05-06 / Locator not independently checked
Research review: Audit access: full text reviewed. Earlier: excerpt reviewed

Chord Energy / AI-097 / R060

AI rod-pump program deployed field-wide

2026-08 / Locator not independently checked
Research review: Audit access: full text reviewed. Earlier: Imported reference; source verification pending

Chord Energy / AI-097 / SW7-006

Chord Energy 1Q26 Earnings Presentation

2026-05-05 / Slide 16; full extracted slide lines 457-505.
Research review: Full 28-page PDF opened; slide 16 read.

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

Chord Energy / AI-097 / SW7-007

Chord Energy 2Q26 results release

2026-08-05 / Operations Update, lines 156-161; continued AI artificial-lift optimization, with no fresh AI-specific result.
Research review: Full source opened and read.

Chord Energy / AI-097 / SW7-008

Chord Energy 2Q25 Investor Presentation

2025-08-06 / Slide 11 / parsed PDF page 10, lines 273-306.
Research review: Full 24-page PDF opened; lists AI/ML scheduling and artificial-lift optimization, gas-lift algorithms, predictive maintenance, and remote monitoring as continuous-improvement initiatives; no distinct deployed AI result or attribution.

Chord Energy / AI-097 / CHRD-S01

Chord Energy Q4 2025 results and 2026 outlook presentation

2026-02-25 / Slide 8; AI-driven ML on rod lift and 2025 run-rate results
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. AI is one of several continuous-improvement categories; group savings and workover days cannot be attributed solely to AI. Comparison recheck 2026-10-08: Original PDF downloaded; relevant initiative table reviewed. PDF p. 8: scaled AI to 99% of rod-lift wells; separate ESP measures and broader Production and LOE savings.

Chord Energy / AI-097 / CHRD-S03

Chord Energy Q3 2025 earnings-call transcript

2025-11-05 / COO Q&A on AI rod-pump parameter control
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Secondary transcript; management detail, not independent technical validation.

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

Chord Energy / AI-097 / CHRD-A01

Chord FY2025 results: operations update

2026-02-25 / Issuer FY2025 release, Operations Update (web lines 216+): approximately 99% of rod-lift wells, early ~25% improvement in rod-pump run times; company says optimization reduced failures and resulted in ~1,200 fewer workover-rig days in 2025. Cross-check the issuer presentation, printed slide 8 / PDF page 8 (web lines 247-259): grouped ~\$50MM continuous-improvement savings bucket includes >50% ESP cycle-time reduction, 25% failure-rate improvement, and scaled AI to 99% of rod-lift wells.
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Company-reported optimization-associated result; broader dollar savings combine several initiatives.

ConocoPhillips / AI-202 / FU003

Field deployments of AI-based IOCaas

2026-04-01 / Kaybob and Montney deployment sections; integration and measurement-period paragraphs
Research review: Latest access review: original document available; Montney case; architecture, implementation, first-winter results and authorship.. Earlier: Full vendor-authored JPT article rechecked 6 October 2026 Comparison recheck 2026-10-08: Named Montney section and vendor authorship reviewed. Montney implementation, first-winter interventions and four-month forecast comparison; JPT web lines 653-665, author biography 681.

ConocoPhillips / AI-202 / COP-S02

ConocoPhillips 2026 annual meeting transcript

2026-05-14 / CEO Ryan Lance answer on enterprise AI strategy and governance
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Strategy-only statement; no named implementation or measured result.

Occidental / AI-215 / SW2-003

Occidental Q2 2025 Earnings Call Transcript

2025-08-07 / Page 3, Vicki Hollub prepared remarks
Research review: Full 17-page company-hosted transcript reviewed.

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

Occidental / AI-215 / OXY-01

2025 Annual Report

2025 reporting year; report publication day not established / CEO letter, printed pp. 2-3 / PDF pp. 2-3, lines 41-129
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Management-reported priorities and results; AI impact is discussed as a portfolio opportunity, not separately measured.

Occidental / AI-215 / OXY-A1

Occidental Q4 2025 earnings-call transcript

2026-02-19 / COO Richard Jackson Q&A, printed pp. 13-14, lines 489-498
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Issuer transcript: ~40% U.S. production routeless/remote-center coverage and ~300 issues/day resolved remotely during Rockies winter storm. AI is in a combined digital/field-sensors/automation approach; remote resolution is not an AI-only outcome. Comparison recheck 2026-10-08: Original PDF downloaded; relevant COO answer reviewed. PDF/printed p. 14: approximately 40% of U.S. production covered and roughly 300 storm issues per day resolved remotely.

Continental Resources / AI-248 / SW7-001

Continental Resources Streamlines Operations with Automation: From fragmented emails to orchestrated operations at Continenta...

No displayed publication/update date; accessed 2026-10-01 / Full page opened/read. Workflow and transition from human validation to automated handling at lines 241-255; quoted Sr. IT Manager Justin Cornell at 257-259; results at 260-265; 200+ emails/40+ processes/~5 minutes at 228-237.
Research review: Full primary vendor case-study page read. Customer contact is named and quoted; performance remains vendor-published/customer-attributed.

Continental Resources / AI-248 / SW7-002

Honoring the 2025 UiPath AI25 Winners

Undated page; references 2025 award cohort; accessed 2026-10-01 / Full page opened/read; Continental listing at lines 294-302, reports WellView and Databricks integration and high-90% accuracy vs ~70%, near-equal manual-intervention reduction.
Research review: Full page read. No publication/update or metric-measurement date displayed.

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

Continental Resources / AI-248 / SW7-003

UiPath Announces 2025 AI25 Awards Winners

2025-09-29 / Full press-release content reviewed from issuer's newsroom/search result. Names Continental among 2025 AI25 award winners. Does not disclose workflow details or performance metrics.
Research review: Dated primary UiPath press release reviewed. Used solely to date the award announcement, not the operational metrics.

Continental Resources / AI-248 / SW7-004

UiPath + Databricks: Data Intelligence Meets Agentic Automation

Undated page; accessed 2026-10-01 / Continental customer panel: Databricks Genie Agents and UiPath Agents for email processing.
Research review: Original page reviewed; no date or incremental result.

EOG Resources / AI-089 / OCT5-S034

Proprietary machine-learning production optimizer

2026 annual report covering 2025; exact publication day not confirmed / PDF page 4, shareholder letter: Consistent Operational Excellence Compounds Over Time
Research review: full text reviewed Comparison recheck 2026-10-08: Original annual-report PDF downloaded; relevant CEO letter reviewed. PDF p. 4: proprietary genAI, production optimizer, machine learning and separate broader 7% well-cost reduction.

EOG Resources / AI-089 / OCT5-S033

Proprietary generative AI for collaboration and operational data

2026 annual report covering 2025; exact publication day not confirmed / PDF page 4, shareholder letter: Consistent Operational Excellence Compounds Over Time
Research review: Full original document reviewed on 6 October 2026 Comparison recheck 2026-10-08: Original annual-report PDF downloaded; relevant CEO letter reviewed. PDF p. 4: proprietary genAI, production optimizer, machine learning and separate broader 7% well-cost reduction.

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

EOG Resources / AI-089 / R046

ML 'production optimizers' and 24-hour control room

2026-02-25 / Locator not independently checked
Research review: Audit access: full text reviewed. Earlier: excerpt reviewed

EOG Resources / AI-089 / EOG-01

EOG Resources 2025 Form 10-K

2026-02-24 / Technology/cyber reliance pp. 19-20; reserve technology pp. F-48-F-50
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Discloses broad technology reliance and cyber risks, not named cloud/model vendors; does not attribute reserve values to AI. Comparison recheck 2026-10-08: Relevant original passage available and reviewed. Risk factors, printed p. 26; internally developed software and real-time data acquisition; SEC web lines 748-749.

EOG Resources / AI-089 / EOG-05

2025 Sustainability Management Report

2025 reporting period; publication day not established / Printed pp. 4, 6, 14, 32, 35
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. 140+ is total in-house application portfolio, not AI count. iSense measures continuous leak-detection coverage, not an AI deployment or savings metric.

EQT / AI-095 / EQTD01

EQT Q4 2025 earnings call - AI-assisted procurement targeting

2026-02-18 / Printed pp. 20-21; Toby Rice, service rebids and procurement
Research review: full text reviewed

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

EQT / AI-095 / R056

AI tools to target service rebids and procurement

2026-02-18 / Locator not independently checked
Research review: Audit access: full text reviewed. Earlier: excerpt reviewed

EQT / AI-095 / EQT-01

EQT Corporation 2025 Form 10-K

2026-02-18 / Strategy and DWE pp. 7-8; human capital/DWE p. 32; cybersecurity/CIO pp. 58-59; CIO bio in executive officers
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Company-wide description; does not identify DWE provider in the 10-K or AI tools in the platform. Comparison recheck 2026-10-08: Relevant original passages available and reviewed. Human Capital Resources, printed p. 32; approximately 56% remote and digital work environment; SEC web lines 946-949.

EQT / AI-095 / EQT-02

Land Data Analyst II/III/Sr. - current job posting

Current posting; posting date not established / Responsibilities involving Land Data Scientist, cross-functional land teams, Salesforce, Databricks and AI pipelines
Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Shows current hiring/task requirements, not proof every pipeline is deployed, production adoption, or buying authority.

Diamondback Energy / AI-045 / SW7-011

Diamondback Energy Letter to Stockholders (Q3 2025)

2025-11-03 / PDF p. 1, lines 61-64; full 3-page PDF read
Research review: Original source opened; relevant passage read.

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

Diamondback Energy / AI-045 / RS040

Diamondback Q2 2026 Earnings Call Transcript

2026-08-10 / Existing register citation; not fully re-opened in primary materials
Research review: Audit access: inaccessible. Earlier: excerpt reviewed

Diamondback Energy / AI-045 / S26

Q2 2026 earnings call transcript

2026-08-10 / Chad McAllaster / Kaes Van't Hof response to Geoff Jay
Research review: Audit access: full text reviewed. Earlier: Third-party-hosted transcript reviewed

Diamondback Energy / AI-045 / R047

ML and AI in field ops cut downtime post-Endeavor

2026-05 / Locator not independently checked
Research review: Audit access: full text reviewed. Earlier: Imported reference; source verification pending

Diamondback Energy / AI-045 / SW7-012

Diamondback Energy Letter to Stockholders (Q1 2026)

2026-05-04 / lines 72-77, especially line 74; full release read
Research review: Original source opened; relevant passage read.

Read the evidence behind the comparison.

The URLs are clickable. Source review is a research-access statement, not independent verification of the operating result.

Diamondback Energy / AI-045 / FANG-05

2026 Q2 Letter to Stockholders (filed May 4, 2026)

2026-05-04 / Q1 operating results and cost discussion

Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Same management outlook as issuer letter; no AI-specific result or quantified planned savings.

Diamondback Energy / AI-045 / FANG-02

Code of Ethics (2026-v2)

2026-v2; exact revision day not established / PDF p. 17, Use of Artificial Intelligence Tools

Research review: Reviewed 2026-10-07. Policy describes required controls, not which tools are currently deployed or which model suppliers are approved.